

# 2012-2013 Report Card for Village Preparatory School:: Woodland Hills Campus

## SCHOOL GRADE

Coming in  
2015



### Achievement

This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

#### Performance Index

NR ..... N

#### Indicators Met

100.0% ..... R

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015



### Progress

This is your district's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

#### Value Added

Overall..... NR

Gifted..... NR

Students with Disabilities..... NR

Lowest 20% in Achievement..... NR

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015



### Gap Closing

This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, culture or disability?

#### Annual Measurable Objectives

NR ..... N

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015



### Graduation Rate

This grade answers the question – How many ninth graders graduate in four years or five years?

#### Graduation Rates

*This school is not evaluated for graduation rate because there are not enough students in the graduating class.*

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015



### K-3 Literacy

This grade answers the question – Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade? The 2014 report card will report some results. The 2015 report card will display one grade for kindergarten through grade 3.

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015



### Prepared for Success

This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from our district ready for college or a career? There are six ways to show that graduates are prepared. In the 2014 school year, the report card will show results for the six areas. The 2015 report card will display one grade combining the results of all six ways of showing graduates are prepared.

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015

## Achievement



This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015

A performance index cannot be calculated for this school because there are not enough students.

## GRADE

NR

## Indicators Met


Indicators Met measures how many students have passed the state tests at a minimum level, called proficient, or higher. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject. At least 75 percent of students must pass to get credit for the indicator. Starting in the 2013-14 school year, a district or school needs to have 80 percent of their students pass at a minimum level or higher in order to "meet" an indicator.

Indicators Met %

100.0%

0.0 out of 0.0

A = 90.0 - 100.0%  
B = 80.0 - 89.9%  
C = 70.0 - 79.9%  
D = 50.0 - 69.9%  
F = 0.0 - 49.9%



## Grades 3-5

*This school does not have enough test results in 3rd, 4th, or 5th grade to display this table.*

## Grades 6-8

*This school does not have enough test results in 6th, 7th, or 8th grade to display this table.*

## Ohio Graduation Test

*This school does not have enough Ohio Graduation Test results to display this table.*

## Progress



This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. It answers the question – Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015

#### GRADE

NR

#### Overall

This measures the progress for all students in math and reading, grades 4-8.

#### GRADE

NR

#### Gifted Students

This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.

#### GRADE

NR

#### Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement

This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading and math achievement.

#### GRADE

NR

#### Students with Disabilities

This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

#### GRADE

Coming  
in 2016

#### High School

A High School measure of progress will be implemented in the 2015-16 school year.

### Progress Details

This table shows the Progress scores by test grade and subject.

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.

Although Progress scores are not assigned letter grades at this level of detail, the grading scale applied at the Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is:

A = 2.0 and up  
B = 1.0 to 1.9  
C = -1.0 to 0.9  
D = -2.0 to -1.1  
F = below -2.0

### Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's performance index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.

**A** No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.

**B**

**Grade**

**C**

**D**

**F**

**Performance Index**

## Gap Closing



This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, culture or disability?

### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015

### GRADE

NR

### Annual Measurable Objectives


Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of all students to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.

#### Reading

#### Math

#### Graduation Rate

#### AMO Points



*This school does not have an Annual Measurable Objective for Reading because there were not enough students to evaluate.*

*This school does not have an Annual Measurable Objective for Math because there were not enough students to evaluate.*

*This school has no no Annual Measurable Objectives for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate.*

*AMO Points have not been calculated and a letter grade has not been assigned because there are not enough students to calculate any of the three AMO components.*

The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2013 AMO for Reading is 83.4%, for Math is 78.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 75.9%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

## Graduation Rate



This grade represents the percentage of students whom entered the 9th grade and graduated 4 and 5 years later.

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in  
2015

*This school has not been assigned  
a grade for Graduation Rate  
because there were not enough  
students to evaluate.*

*The Graduation Rate graph that would appear in this space cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.*



## 2012-2013 Report Card for Village Preparatory School:: Woodland Hills Campus



**Principal:** Christopher J. O'Brien

**Phone:** --

**Address:** 9201 Crane Ave  
Cleveland OH 44105-1627

*Directory information current as of the 2012-2013 Report Card publication date*

### Your School's Students

**Average  
Daily  
Enrollment:**

155


**Number of  
Limited  
English  
Proficiency  
Students  
Excluded from  
Accountability  
Calculations:**

--

### Enrollment by Subgroup

	Enrollment #	Enrollment %
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Black, Non-Hispanic	152	98.1%
Hispanic	NC	
Multiracial	NC	
White, Non-Hispanic	NC	
Students with Disabilities	NC	
Economically Disadvantaged	137	88.4%
Limited English Proficiency	NC	
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



**Mobility Rates by Subgroup**

	Student Mobility %
All Students	0.0%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC
Black, Non-Hispanic	0.0%
Hispanic	NC
Multiracial	NC
White, Non-Hispanic	NC
Students with Disabilities	0.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	0.0%
Limited English Proficiency	NC
Migrant	NC

*A mobility rate chart cannot be displayed for this school because either there are not enough students to evaluate in any subgroup or all calculated results are 0.0%.*

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

### Your School's Teachers

Your School's Poverty Status: High	Your School	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	--
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	12.5	--
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0	--
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100	--
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	--

High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

### Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

## Financial Data




These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

**Comparison Group:** Enrollment between 150 and 499

### Classroom Spending Data

Percentage Spent on Classroom Instruction  
**46.1%**




Rank in comparison group for highest % spent  
**123 out of 162**



School

Comparison Group

State



Classroom Instruction Non-Classroom Instruction

### Spending per Pupil Data


	School	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$12,877	\$8,814
Classroom Instruction	\$5,935	\$5,953
Non-Classroom Spending	\$6,942	\$2,861



## Spending and Performance


This measure answers the question – what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?

### Comparison Group



✗ Village Preparatory School:: Woodland Hills Campus IS NOT among the 20% of traditional community schools with the highest academic performance index scores.

### All Community & STEM Schools




✗ Village Preparatory School:: Woodland Hills Campus IS NOT among the 20% of traditional community schools with the lowest operating expenditures per pupil.

## Source of Revenue

Source of Funds	School		State Total	
Local	\$150,638	6.7%	\$7,953,216,862	40.1%
State	\$1,173,347	52.1%	\$8,725,275,316	44.0%
Federal	\$488,917	21.7%	\$1,701,436,355	8.6%
Other Non-Tax	\$439,702	19.5%	\$1,442,122,710	7.3%
Total	\$2,252,604	100.0%	\$19,822,051,242	100.0%

School



State

