


## **Detailed Analysis of School Performance in Cincinnati (2010-11)**


Graph I: Percent of Cincinnati Students in Public Schools by State Designation (2008-09, 2009-10, and 2010-11)



■Academic Emergency ■Academic Watch □Continuous Improvement ■Effective ■Excellent & Excellent w/ Distinction

Source: Ohio Department of Education interactive Local Report Card Note: This chart does not include students in schools that are unrated and students attending charter e-schools.

Detailed Analysis of Public School Performance in Cincinnati, 2010-11 Thomas B. Fordham Institute, August 24, 2011




Graph II: Percent of Cincinnati Students in Public Schools by State Designation versus the Big 8 Average<sup>\*</sup>(2010-11)

Source: Ohio Department of Education interactive Local Report Card

Note: This chart does not include students in schools that are unrated and students attending charter e-schools. Totals may not equal 100 due to rounding

<sup>\*</sup> The Big 8 districts are defined as Ohio's largest urban districts: Akron, Canton, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, Toledo, and Youngstown.



Graph III: Percent of Cincinnati Students in Public Schools by Value-added Composite Score versus the Big 8 Average (2010-11)

Source: Ohio Department of Education interactive Local Report Card

Note: This chart does not include students in schools that did not receive a value-added composite score or students attending charter e-schools.

## Table I: Cincinnati Public Schools (District and Charter) Ranked by Performance Index Score

The following pages list Cincinnati's public charter and district schools ranked by Performance Index (PI) score<sup>†</sup> for the 2010-11 school year. Numerous other performance indicators are also included, but the Performance Index score was selected for ranking the

http://www.ode.state.oh.us/GD/DocumentManagement/DocumentDownload.aspx?DocumentID=29878.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> The Performance Index score is calculated by multiplying the percentage of students that are untested, below basic/limited, basic, proficient, accelerated, or advanced by weights ranging from 0 for untested to 1.2 for advanced students. The totals are then summed up to obtain the school or district's PI score. PI scores range from 0 to 120, and the state has set the goal for all schools to achieve a PI score of 100 or better. For a complete description of how the Ohio Department of Education calculates the PI score see their website here:

schools because it provides an overall indication of how well students perform on all tested subjects in grades 3 through 8 and the Ohio Graduation Test.

We strongly encourage readers to look closely at the number of standards met and the number of standards possible. A school with a large number of possible standards and possible standards met has gotten a large percentage of students to the state proficiency goals.

We also encourage readers to consider schools' value-added results. Where the Performance Index reflects student achievement at one point in time, value-added tells us how a school is doing at helping its students make progress from year to year. For more on value-added, see Fordham's value-added primer on our website: http://www.edexcellencemedia.net/publications/2008/200808\_ohiovalueaddedprimer/Ohio\_Value\_Added\_Primer\_FINAL\_small.pdf

	Tuble 1. Cincinnaii 1 ubii: Schools (			District and Charter) Ranked by I							
			Ы	PI		Overall	# of	# of standards	Value- added		
			Score	Score		AYP 10-	standards	possible	Composite		Grade
Rank	Building Name	Туре	10-11	09-10	Designation 10-11	11	met 10-11	10-11	10-11	Enrollment	Span
1	Walnut Hills High School	District	111.8	110.7	Excellent	Met	17	17	Met	2149	7-12
2	Kilgour Elementary School	District	108.7	104	Excellent	Met	10	10	Met	630	K-6
3	T.C.P. World Academy	Charter	103.7	102.9	Excellent	Met	10	10	Met	454	K-6
4	Fairview-Clifton German Language School	District	103.4	101.6	Excellent	Not Met	9	10	Met	696	K-6
5	School For Creat & Perf Arts High School	District	100.2	98	Excellent	Not Met	24	26	Below	1401	K-12
6	Sands Montessori Elementary School	District	99.1	96.6	Excellent	Not Met	9	10	Above	646	K-6
7	Covedale Elementary School	District	98.7	100.8	Excellent	Not Met	10	10	Met	579	K-6
8	Dater Montessori Elementary School	District	98.1	93.6	Excellent	Met	9	10	Above	673	K-6
*9	Robert A. Taft Information Technology High School	District	97.7	99.1	Excellent	Met	12	12		514	9-12
*9	Clark Montessori High School	District	97.1	97.5	Effective	Met	14	17	Met	680	7-12
11	North Avondale Montessori Elementary School	District	96.4	91.1	Effective	Not Met	9	10		509	K-6
12	Cincinnati College Preparatory Academy	Charter	95.4	95.4	Effective	Met	21	25		660	K-12
13	Hamilton Cnty Math & Science	Charter	95.2	87	Excellent	Met	11	15		411	K-8
14	Withrow University High School	District	94.5	89.3	Effective	Not Met	9	12		797	9-12
15	Mt. Washington Elementary School	District	92.8	83.1	Excellent	Not Met	7	15	Above	449	K-8
16	Gilbert A. Dater High School	District	92.6	87.4	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	11	17		770	7-12
17	Western Hills University High School	District	92.3	90.4	Effective	Met	8	12		661	9-12
18	College Hill Fundamental Academy	District	91.3	90.2	Excellent	Not Met	4	10		493	K-6
19	Hartwell Elementary School	District	91	93.8	Effective	Not Met	9	15		416	K-8
20	Aiken College and Career High School	District	90.3	93.8	Effective	Not Met	8	10		675	9-12
20	Hughes STEM High School	District	90.1	0	Effective	Not Met	3	6		517	9-10
22	James N. Gamble Montessori High School	District	89.8	85.3	Continuous Improvement	Met	9	16	Met	297	7-10
22	Sayler Park Elementary School	District	89	79	Effective	Not Met	9	15		320	K-8
24	Phoenix Community Learning Ctr	Charter	87.7	82.2	Effective	Met	7	15	Above	375	K-8
25	Hoffman-Parham Elementary School	District	87.2	82.3	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	6	15		403	K-8
26	Horizon Science Academy-Cincinnati	Charter	85.9	75	Effective	Met	8	26	Above	347	K-12
27	Woodford Paideia Elementary School	District	85.3	85.1	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	4	10		459	K-6
28	Shroder Paideia High School	District	84.8	81	Continuous Improvement	Met	8	10	Met	717	7-12
20	Winton Hills Academy Elementary School	District	84.7	85.6	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	4	17		437	K-8
30	Oyler School	District	84.6	77.2	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	10	26	Met	626	K-12
31	Summit Academy Transition High School-Cincinnati	Charter	84.5	73	Effective	Met	5	11	Above	59	9-12
*32	Bond Hill Academy Elementary School	District	83.2	80.8	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	5	15	Below	400	K-8
*32	Mt. Healthy Preparatory and Fitness Academy	Charter	83.2	81	Effective	Met	5	12	Above	219	K-8
34	Silverton Paideia Elementary School	District	83	78.6	Effective	Not Met	2	12	Above	339	K-6
*35	Riverview East Academy	District	82.2	73.6	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	8	26	Met	473	K-0
*35	Life Skills Center Of Hamilton County	Charter	82.2	73.0	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	1	3		210	9-12
*37	South Avondale Elementary School	District	81.7	62.5	Effective	Met	6	15		516	K-8
*37	Winton Montessori Elementary School	District	81.7	87.6	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	3	10		378	K-6
39	Withrow International High School	District	81.7	75.9	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	3	10		589	9-12
40	Academy for Multilingual Immersion Studies	District	81.3	73.9	Continuous Improvement	Met	3	12		491	9-12 K-8
40	Woodward Career Technical High School	District	80.7	73.4	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	4	12		986	9-12
41	King Academy Community School	Charter	80.7	94.4	Continuous Improvement	Met	4	12		137	9-12 K-8
42	Cheviot Elementary School	District	80.1	94.4 75.1	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	4	15		584	K-8
43	Roll Hill School	District	80	73.1	Continuous Improvement	Met	3	15		536	K-8
44	Roselawn Condon Elementary School	District	79.8	72.5	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	3	15		436	K-8
45	Orion Academy	Charter	79.8	82	Academic Watch	Not Met	3	15		589	K-8
40 *47	John P Parker Elementary School	District	79.6	71.3	Continuous Improvement	Met	2	15		453	
47	JUIN F FAIKER Elementary School	DISTLICT	79.4	/1.3	Continuous improvement	iviet	Ζ	15	iviet	453	K-8

 Table I: Cincinnati Public Schools (District and Charter) Ranked by Performance Index Score (2010-11)

Detailed Analysis of Public School Performance in Cincinnati, 2010-11 Thomas B. Fordham Institute, August 24, 2011

*47	Academy Of World Languages Elementary School	District	79.4	74.9	Academic Watch	Not Met	1	15	Met	569	K-8
49	Midway Elementary School	District	79.2	74.4	Academic Watch	Not Met	3	15	Met	626	K-8
50	Carson Elementary School	District	78.4	71.6	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	2	15	Above	697	K-8
51	Alliance Academy of Cincinnati	Charter	77.1	73	Continuous Improvement	Met	4	15	Met	323	K-8
52	Hughes Center High School	District	76.5	75.1	Continuous Improvement	Met	4	12		392	11-12
*53	Chase Elementary School	District	76.2	68	Continuous Improvement	Met	2	15	Met	328	K-8
*53	Rockdale Academy Elementary School	District	76.2	73.2	Academic Watch	Not Met	2	15	Met	417	K-8
55	Ethel M. Taylor Academy	District	76	69.7	Academic Watch	Not Met	1	15	Met	391	K-8
56	Mount Auburn International Academy	Charter	75.6	71.5	Academic Watch	Not Met	6	25	Met	525	K-12
57	Frederick Douglass Elementary School	District	74.8	68.8	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	1	15	Above	388	K-8
*58	Rothenberg Preparatory Academy	District	74.7	66.9	Continuous Improvement	Met	1	15	Met	322	K-8
*58	Riverside Academy	Charter	74.7	65.6	Continuous Improvement	Met	1	15	Above	243	K-12
60	Roberts Academy: A Paideia Learning Community	District	74	70.7	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	1	15	Above	658	K-8
61	Rees E. Price Elementary School	District	73.2	62.1	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	1	15	Above	554	K-8
62	Mt. Airy Elementary School	District	72.5	63.8	Continuous Improvement	Met	1	15	Above	603	K-8
*63	Pleasant Hill Elementary School	District	72.4	71	Academic Watch	Not Met	1	15	Met	676	K-8
*63	Virtual High School	District	72.4	55.7	Academic Watch	Not Met	2	12		391	9-12
*63	Cincinnati Leadership Academy	Charter	72.4	72.8	Academic Watch	Not Met	2	10	Met	213	K-8
66	Westwood Elementary School	District	72.3	71.4	Academic Watch	Not Met	2	15	Met	331	K-8
67	V L T Academy	Charter	70.7	62.5	Continuous Improvement	Not Met	6	26	Above	793	K-12
68	William H Taft Elementary School	District	70.6	62.6	Continuous Improvement	Met	1	15	Met	305	K-8
69	George Hays-Jennie Porter Elementary	District	70.5	60.8	Continuous Improvement	Met	2	15	Above	331	K-8
70	Western Hills Engineering High School	District	68.8	79	Academic Emergency	Not Met	2	12		424	9-12
*71	Cincinnati Speech & Reading Intervention Center	Charter	66.9	0	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	15	Met	301	K-8
*71	Summit Academy Cincinnati	Charter	66.9	60.8	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	7	Met	89	1-8
73	Pleasant Ridge Montessori School	District	64.3	64.6	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	10	Met	520	K-6
74	Life Skills Ctr Of Cincinnati	Charter	58.5	64.8	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	12		304	9-12
75	College Hill Leadership Academy	Charter	58.2	0	Continuous Improvement	Met	1	3		67	K-6
76	Theodore Roosevelt Public Community School	Charter	57.2	0	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	15	Below	209	K-12
77	Dohn Community	Charter	56.6	45.8	Academic Emergency	Not Met	0	12		157	9-12
78	Quebec Heights Elementary School	District	54.2	62	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	15	Below	392	K-8
79	East End Comm Heritage School	Charter	48.5	53.4	Academic Emergency	Not Met	0	4		99	K-12
80	P.A.C.E. High School	Charter	40.4	54.6	Academic Emergency	Not Met	1	12		200	9-12
81	Lighthouse Community Sch Inc	Charter	39.1	45.2	Academic Emergency	Not Met	0	8		66	6-12

## Source: Ohio Department of Education

Notes: 1) Rankings are based on Performance Index score for the 2010-11 school year. 2) Schools without a Performance Index score were removed. \* These schools were tied for the same ranking number.